

INDIVIDUAL LEARNING ACCOUNTS :

8 LESSONS FOR EFFECTIVE DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION

Stefano Scarpetta Director for Employment, Labour and Social Affairs OECD

Presentation to the All-Digital conference on *Individual Learning Accounts in the 2020s*, 18-02-2020



Three key megatrends are changing the labour market

Technology is changing the workplace

4 of every 10 new jobs in the OECD are in highly digital-intensive sectors





The world has become more integrated



42 % of OECD business sector jobs sustained by consumers in foreign markets (45% in the EU)



Robots are on the move

• Orders of industrial robots have tripled over the past decade



14% of jobs could be automated
(17% in the EU) ...





New forms of work contribute to increased worker mobility

Non-standard forms of work (new and less new) have been growing in some countries:

- Technology-facilitated crowd work and on-demand work
- Casual work
- Own-account work prompted by domestic outsourcing
- Temporary contracts

=> Careers are becoming more fragmented Adjusting for changes in the demographic structure, **average tenure** has decreased by around 5 months (or 5%) since 2006 in the OECD



Changes in the labour market require significant re-/up-skilling

Many jobs will change and transitions will be difficult

Change in employment over the past 20 years



The share of high-skilled jobs has increased by 25% over the last two decades

But many adults lack the skills needed for the new jobs emerging



6 out of 10 adults lack basic ICT skills or have no computer experience



Share of adults (16-65) in each group that participate in training, 2012/2015





1990s: ILAs to create a <u>market</u> in training, boosting individual choice and responsibility for training => greater quality and relevance of training provision and efficiency of providers

Now: ILAs allow the <u>portability</u> of training rights from one job or employment status to another, and promote individual investment in lifelong learning

=> Might help to increase access to training for **non-standard workers**

BUT: relatively little is known about their actual functioning and performance

The OECD report on Individual Learning Accounts



Objective: to help policy makers design effective ILAs

Challenge: <u>only one real ILA</u> to date (the French *Compte Personnel de Formation* - CPF) => look at related Individual Learning Schemes

6 case studies (Upper Austria, Scotland, Michigan and Washington States, Singapore, Tuscany) and **literature review**

LESSON 1 : Need to be clear about objectives – ILAs will not solve all training problems

- What are the main problems encountered in the current framework for training financing and/or in training provision?
- Is an ILA best placed to remedy these problems?
- How will the ILA integrate the current training ecosystem?



LESSON 2: Financial supports needs to be high enough to promote participation and real upskilling



- Many schemes provide low financial support => this limits participation and duration of training, and thus possibilities for real increases in qualification levels
- One option is to allow participants to combine ILAs with other training schemes, including income support



LESSON 3: How ILAs are financed has important distributive and sustainability implications

- Higher co-financing requirements imply less redistributive schemes
- But type of public financing also matters:
 - Tax-financed schemes are as redistributive as the tax system is, but make the ILA very sensitive to budget constraints
 - Training levies funds to be earmarked, as well as possible mutualisation

+ + +	

LESSON 4: Accompanying measures are needed to increase participation among under-represented groups

- Highly-educated workers tend to be overrepresented among ILA participants
- Targeting can help, but even then the most disadvantaged remain under-represented
- Co-financing can be a barrier to participation for the most-disadvantaged, but so can the lack of replacement income
- Non-financial barriers : participation in ILAs relies on the capacity to plan career and identify appropriate training => need access to information, guidance and counselling for under-represented groups



LESSON 5: Keep governance and processes simple



- Heavy processes and fragmented governance discourage participation
- Well-designed and well-functioning apps can help, but non-digital alternatives are needed for those who are not internet-savvy



LESSON 6: Targeting can reduce deadweight loss but can come with other costs

- Targeting allows to reduce access of the highly-skilled
- But it may imply heavy administrative burden => try to rely on existing databases or income tests
- There is a trade-off between targeting and the objective of portability
- An alternative to targeting is varying the degree of support depending on the participant's circumstances





LESSON 7: ILAs reinforce the need for quality assurance

- Individuals are weaker buyers than employers or public funders
- Quality assurance can be achieved through:
 - Certification of providers and training programm
 - Evaluation of outcomes
 - Communication of information to the public
- Risk that smaller and unprofitable training programmes disappear => less choice



LESSON 8: The link with employer-provided training needs to be taken into account



- ILAs risk removing responsibility for training away from employers
- Employers remain instrumental in motivating employee training
- Possibility of introducing on-the-job training (more motivating and adapted for the least-skilled) in ILAs?
- Also make sure not all training comes through ILAs

Thank you

For further information:

Stefano.scarpetta@oecd.org

Twitter: @stescarpetta

https://www.oecd.org/els/emp/individual-learning-accounts.pdf http://www.oecd.org/employment/future-of-work/ http://oecd/employment-outlook



